

Monadic Schemata and Interpretation

I. Classification of monadic schemata

A. (*Monadic*) *open schemata*:

1. (*Monadic*) *atomic open schema*: e.g.,

$$Fx, Gy, \text{ etc.}$$

2. A (*monadic*) *complex open schema*: e.g.,

$$Fx.Gx \supset Hx$$

3. Note that **only one** free variable is allowed.

B. *Simple monadic schema*: e.g.,

$$(\exists x)(Fx \equiv Gx),$$

$$(\forall y)(Hy.(Gy \vee Fy))$$

C. *Pure monadic schema*: e.g.,

$$(\exists x)(Fx \equiv Gx) \supset (\forall y)(Hy.(Gy \vee Hy))$$

D. *Monadic schema*: e.g.,

$$(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx \vee Hx) \supset Fx$$

II. The interpretation of monadic schemata: motivation.

- A. In order to do anything with schemata, we need, as in the *TF* case, some notion of the interpretation of a schema.
- B. Remember that in *TF* logic, we did truth tables for schemata.
 - 1. Each row of a truth table is an interpretation.
 - 2. And each row represents a possible situation, or state of the world.
- C. So, the totality of rows of a truth table represents all the possible situations or states of the world in which a schema could be evaluated for truth or falsity.
- D. And that is why we can be so confident that if we look at all the rows of a truth table, we know **every possible way** in which a schema could be $\top$ or $\perp$.
- E. And this is what we are going to try to do for monadic schemata. We want an interpretation of a monadic schema to specify a possible state of the world that would determine the schema as $\top$ or $\perp$.

III. The interpretations of monadic schemata: summary of introductory discussion

- A. What do we need to specify a possible state of the world? Three things:
 - 1. what entities there are in the world,

2. what properties these entities have, and
 3. which of these entities we are specifically talking about.
- B. That is, an interpretation of monadic schemata must have:
1. A specification of what the universe of discourse or domain of quantification contains.
 2. A specification of what the free variable(*s*) refer to.
 3. A specification of what predicate letters refer to.
- C. There are some technical requirements on the domain
1. Non-empty
 2. Kept the same throughout a sentence or a group of sentences.
- D. There are two kinds of interpretations: by replacement and by assignment.

IV. Interpretation by replacement

- A. The universe of discourse is interpreted as the extension of a monadic predicate of English. So the specification of the domain consists of providing an English predicate with a non-empty extension.
- B. Each monadic predicate letter which occurs in the schema is interpreted by a monadic predicate of English *which applies to objects in the domain*.
- C. Each free variable which occurs in the schema, if any, is interpreted by some *name* of an object *which is in the universe of discourse*.
- D. Once we have such an interpretation, we can translate a schema back into English.
- E. And, once we have the English translation, we can determine whether the schema is $\top$ or $\perp$ by seeing whether the English sentence is $\top$ or $\perp$.

V. Interpretations by replacement

- A. $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx \vee Hx) \supset Fx$
- B. $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx).(\exists x)(Fx) \supset (\exists x)(Gx)$

VI. Interpretation by assignment

- A. The domain of quantification is specified by a non-empty set of objects.
- B. For each predicate letter, a specification of an extension, which must be a *subset* of the *DQ*.
- C. An assignment of some element of the *DQ* to the free variable.
- D. The three items that make up an interpretation by assignment is called a structure
- E. Once we have such an interpretation, we can determine the truth value of the schema.

VII. Truth of a schema under an interpretation by assignment

We now define what it means for a monadic schema to be *true* under an interpretation by assignment. The definition here is based very closely on the definitions we have given of the truth conditions of sentences containing the quantifiers.

- A. A simple open schema “ Fx ” is $\top$ if the object assigned to “ x ” is a member of the set assigned as extension to “ F ”.
- B. Whether a complex monadic open schema is $\top$ is determined by whether each of its simple parts is $\top$, and by the rules for using the TF connectives.
- C. A simple monadic schema “ $(\forall x)(\dots x \dots)$ ” is $\top$ if the monadic open schema contained in it is $\top$ for every assignment of an object in the UD to “ x ”.
- D. A simple monadic schema “ $(\exists x)(\dots x \dots)$ ” is $\top$ if the monadic open schema contained in it is $\top$ for some assignment of an object in the UD to “ x ”.
- E. Whether a monadic schema is $\top$ is determined by whether each of the simple monadic schemata it contains is $\top$, whether each open schema it contains is $\top$, together with the rules for using the TF connectives.

VIII. Examples of truth-values under an interpretation by assignment

- A. $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx \vee Hx) \supset Fx$
 - 1. $UD = \{0, 1, \dots\}$.
 - 2. $extF = \{0, 2\}$
 - 3. $extG = \{0\}$
 - 4. $extH = \{2\}$
 - 5. $x := 1$.
- B. Find an interpretation of $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx) \cdot (\exists x)(Fx) \supset (\exists x)(Gx)$ that makes it $\top$ and one which makes it $\perp$.